

From heroay@logontx.net

one more for the powder keg...

kathelee@verizon.net

US GOVERNMENT NOT A STATE OF THE UNION!

Sun Oct 16, 2005 10:36

64.140.158.10

Joe,

That is NOT hypothetical at all, here's why: The Federal Government is as you know located in a District, this District is the District of Columbia. Further, Washington, D.C. does Not and never has operated under any constitution; it is NOT a state in Union.

[the whole District is a CORPORATION, the site of this corporation, and a government within a government within a country.]

You mentioned three (3) Branches of Government [Executive, Legislative and Judicial]. You failed to mention the 4th branch of government, the most powerful that not only combines all into one, but controls every aspect of one's life, the Administration branch.

[the 4th Branch is THE PEOPLE! Without The People, we have a Tyrany, a Dictatorship instead. The Administrative is -should be- actually a sub-servient, hired Employee of The People for administrative purposes. The fact that Bush uses His hand-picked Administration to control all three branches and is trying to submit the 4th, is because we are only one step away from a dictatorship.]

The American people are under the myth that the governments (state and federal) are operating under some kind of a constitution, again, this couldn't be further from the truth.

PART ONE: The governors of every corporate state were gathered for a convention under the direction of FDR on March 6, 1933 (feel free to verify all of our work/research) in preparation of "The New Deal" prepared and

organized by the Federal Reserve. When the Congress approved FDR's "New Deal" on March 9, 1933, this Country faced the largest bankruptcy the World has known. In doing so, this was the foundation and the removal of both the state and federal constitutions. Remember, money, i.e., gold and law are closely married, it has value (gold); Federal Reserve notes do not.

[the constitutional government WAS broke after the Independence War, again after the Civil War, again just prior to WW I, (war, war, war, does it tell you anything?) and in 1913 the bankers took over this country without firing one shot, only by reclaiming their money for the worthless US Bonds in their possession.]

PART TWO: This part went into play with the Clearfield Doctrine that changed America [I strongly advise you to read the case of Clearfield Trust Company v. United States, 318 U.S. 363

<http://www.dogpile.com/info.dogpl/clickit/search?r_aid=CD6C2B73E27D4836A08B

D241EC92AD70&r_eop=3&r_sacop=11&r_spf=0&r_cop=main-title&r_snpp=10&r_spp=1&q qn=DfIQVi%272&r_coid=239138&rawto=http://caselaw.lp.findlaw.com/cgi-bin/getc

ase.pl?navby=volpage&court=us&vol=318&page=367> and Erie R. Co. v. Tompkins, 304 U.S. 64

<<http://caselaw.lp.findlaw.com/cgi-bin/getcase.pl?court=us&vol=304&invol=64>

, 58 S. Ct. 817, 114 A.L.R. 1487 concerning the "status" of any government that uses private commercial paper as its "money."] forever, all for the sum of \$24.24. Lawyers do not publicly speak of the Doctrine.

[They don't speak about their 'secret oath' either. Lawyers 'belong' to England, so to speak. Their oath of allegiance -BAR- with Britain makes them subjects of a foreign nation, which itself is un-constitutional.]

PART THREE: Was the Federal Administration Procedure Act (Title 5 - United States Code - Chapter 5, sections 511-599) bringing all courts under administration and bypassing the constitutions.

[All States are now unconstitutionally 'Incorporated' to the main corporation, USCorp, making of this nation NOT a Republic since 1913, but a corporative State, under Equity, not Constitutional Law.]

PART FOUR: The dormant 14th Amendment awakens. This was Lincoln's Amendment under slavery and where the term "U.S. Citizen" was taken out of thin air. Lincoln couldn't pass the Emancipation Act because it was Military, thus the 14th Amendment was written by a traitor in Pennsylvania. This Amendment was NEVER ratified by the states in Union at any time, so what's the Congress do, adopts it! A "U.S. Citizen" is not the same as an American citizen, it's a black and white issue here.

["U.S. Citizen", in stark contrast with 'Sovereign citizen' (the citizen is Sovereign, not the government, nor any corporation) under the Constitution, means now the citizens are so, but OF the USCorp, or simple "assets" of USCorp. And fight and die in Corporate Wars, now including for the possible 'Israel Corporation.']

To understand the entire scope on the above, one has a lot of studying to do, don't expect to drop an aspirin in a glass of water and poof, you have your answers, that won't happen for this is a complex area.

[Here are 2 more twisters for the pillow...

Losing THE REPUBLIC To 7 Robber-Baron Families In 1913

www.teamlaw.org/history.htm

US Citizens As ASSETS Of Foreign Bankers Via The 'FEDERAL' RESERVE Corp'

www.urbansurvival.com/week.htm#corps [original already removed]

<http://www.apfn.net/Messageboard/11-30-04/discussion.cgi.12.html>

www.rense.com/general60/gove.htm

<http://p094.ezboard.com/fcatholicreformationfrm6.showMessage?topicID=144.topic>

But before you go to sleep, ask yourself:... WHERE IS THE *OUTRAGE*?!?]

Good luck on your research and feel free to ask us any questions you may have.

(signed, kathelee@verizon.net)

[ps. any wonder now why old Bush said, 'if the people ever found out what we did to them, they would chase us down the street and lynch us' ?]

International Judicial Review

"When law and morality contradict each other, the citizen has the cruel alternative of either losing his moral sense or losing his respect for the law."

"If the government cannot be held accountable to the rule of law, how can any citizen believe they can receive justice via the rule of law?"