

Subject: Readers not interested in water as fuel?

Date: Wed, 31 May 2006 17:41:02 -0400

From: Byron Wine <[byronw1@verizon.net](mailto:byronw1@verizon.net)>

To: [sengelberg@news.oregonian.com](mailto:sengelberg@news.oregonian.com), [srowe@news.oregonian.com](mailto:srowe@news.oregonian.com)

Dear Editors Engelberg and Rowe,

On 5/17/06, the following email was sent to 19 members of your staff:

"Hello,

This might be useful:

77 years ago the President of General Motors predicted 80-mpg by 1939

70 years ago Ford Motor Co. tested a 170-mpg Pogue carburetor

33 years ago Shell Oil Co demonstrated a 376-mpg automobile

29 years ago a 100-mpg Ford V-8 was demonstrated fo

23 years ago Peugeot advertised a 72-mpg @ 56-mph Diesel

4 years ago an English newspaper article announced a 104-mpg Toyota

Diesel and 94-mpg VW/Audi Diesels

Commercial fuel cell vehicles have been available in Europe for years

Many U.S. Patents exist for devices that separate the elements of water

for use as fuel, one patent was granted in 1898.

Some documentation is attached. Other documentation is on my web page

[www.byronwine.com](http://www.byronwine.com).

Also on my web page (#12-hydrogen fuel), there is a short movie demonstrating a car running on water. This is not a fuel cell. The inventor of this process has been running engines on water for more than 30 years. This inventor, a citizen of the Philippines, states the president of his country will not help in development because of an agreement with the World Bank.

Regards,

Byron"

No one responded.

I thought your readers would be interested in technology that can reduce their energy cost. Evidently, The Oregonian doesn't want readers to know about the technology.

Regards,

Byron